

# UNCLASSIFIED

<b>AD NUMBER</b>
ADB222547
<b>NEW LIMITATION CHANGE</b>
<b>TO</b> Approved for public release, distribution unlimited
<b>FROM</b> Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies only; Proprietary Info.; Nov 96. Other requests shall be referred to Commander, Army Medical Research and Materiel Command, Attn: MCMR-RMI-S, Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702-5012.
<b>AUTHORITY</b>
P. M. Rinehart, Deputy Chief of Staff for Info. Mgmt., USAMRMC, MCMR-RMI-S [70-1y], Ft. Detrick, MD.

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

AD \_\_\_\_\_

GRANT NUMBER DAMD17-94-J-4206

TITLE: A Follow-up of a National Cohort of Breast Disease Factors  
Affecting the Development of Breast Cancer

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Baruch Modan, M.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Chaim Sheba Medical Center  
Tel Hashomer 52621 Israel

REPORT DATE: September 1996

TYPE OF REPORT: Final

PREPARED FOR: Commander  
U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command  
Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Distribution authorized to U.S.  
Government agencies only (proprietary information, Nov 96).  
Other requests for this document shall be referred to Commander,  
U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command, ATTN:  
MCMR-RMI-S, Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702-5012.

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are  
those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official  
Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so  
designated by other documentation.

19970421 036

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 1

# REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved  
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE September 1996	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final (1 Sep 94 - 31 Aug 96)
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE A Follow-up of a National Cohort of Breast Disease Factors Affecting the Development of Breast Cancer			5. FUNDING NUMBERS DAMD17-94-J-4206
6. AUTHOR(S) Baruch Modan, M.D.			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Chaim Sheba Medical Center Tel Hashomer 52621 Israel			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick Frederick, Maryland 21702-5012			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Distribution authorized to U.S. Government agencies only (proprietary information, Nov 96). Other requests for this document shall be referred to Commander, U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command, ATTN: MCMR-RMI-S, Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702-5012.			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200)  In 1979-80 we collected and re-examined all breast biopsies performed in Israel by a single pathologist in New York (Dr. M. Black), using his prognostic grading system, nuclear differentiation and LRE response for breast diseases. The complete cohort consisted of about 3720 women. By September 1996, 1760 benign breast disease (BBD) cases were interviewed. Preliminary data show that about 30% of the BBD women went through an additional biopsy and 11% went through $\geq 2$ , that were re-evaluated by Dr. Black. First follow-up for morbidity and mortality was done by linkage of our cohort file with that of the Cancer Registry: 2.2% with normotypic (grade 1), 3.3% of hyperplastic (grade 2), and 9% of atypic, (grade $\geq 3$ ) and 4.2% of the <i>in-situ</i> Ca (grade 5) women developed BC. Median time to BC was 9.9, 9.2, 5.1, 3.1, 3-10 years respectively. Data collected from the BBD cohort women included information on hormonal and parity history, history of BBD and BC, physical activity and alcohol drinking habits. Computer programming has been concluded and frequencies of selected parameters are presented. Mortality of the invasive cancer patient's cohort was 67.2%. For 45% of the breast cancer (BC) cohort women, oncological information from medical records was abstracted.			
14. SUBJECT TERMS Breast Cancer			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 20
			16. PRICE CODE
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Limited

## FOREWORD

Opinions, interpretations, conclusions and recommendations are those of the author and are not necessarily endorsed by the US Army.

NA Where copyrighted material is quoted, permission has been obtained to use such material.

NA Where material from documents designated for limited distribution is quoted, permission has been obtained to use the material.

NA Citations of commercial organizations and trade names in this report do not constitute an official Department of Army endorsement or approval of the products or services of these organizations.

NA In conducting research using animals, the investigator(s) adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," prepared by the Committee on Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Resources, National Research Council (NIH Publication No. 86-23, Revised 1985).

NA For the protection of human subjects, the investigator(s) adhered to policies of applicable Federal Law 45 CFR 46.

NA In conducting research utilizing recombinant DNA technology, the investigator(s) adhered to current guidelines promulgated by the National Institutes of Health.

NA In the conduct of research utilizing recombinant DNA, the investigator(s) adhered to the NIH Guidelines for Research Involving Recombinant DNA Molecules.

NA In the conduct of research involving hazardous organisms, the investigator(s) adhered to the CDC-NIH Guide for Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories.

NA = Not Applicable

  
PI - Signature

Date

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
Report Documentation Page	2
Foreword	3
Abstract	3
Introduction and Study Purpose	5
Technical Objectives	7
Work Progress and Preliminary Results	8
Future plans	10
References	11-13
Tables	14-20

## INTRODUCTION AND STUDY PURPOSE

Dissatisfaction with the prognostic value of a traditional pathologic categorization of BBD has led to the development of a classification of defined segments of the mammary duct system based on proliferation and the degree of atypical changes (1,2).

The essential feature of Black-Chabon grading system is based on a score of 1 to 5 describing a degree of ductal atypia. Normotypic lesions included those classified as normoplastic (Grade 1) and hyperplastic (Grade 2). The normoplastic lesions included those conventionally categorized as cystic changes, stromal fibrosis, duct ectasia, and normal-appearing breast parenchyma. The hyperplastic lesions included those conventionally classified as intraductal papillomas, papillomatosis, adenosis, and duct hyperplasia without atypia. The term benign proliferative mastopathy was ascribed to the preceding group of conditions with duct Grades 1 to  $\geq 1$  to  $\geq 2$ . Minimal, moderate and marked degrees of ductal and/or lobular atypia were graded as 3 and 4, respectively. A grading of 5 essentially coincides with the traditional category of *Ca in situ*.

The evolution of BC from normal tissue to malignancy has a long natural history which may be a multistage process that proceeds through duct cell hyperproliferation to atypia, *in situ* growth, and malignant transformation. This tumorigenesis model may be associated with several genetic, hormonal and reproductive factors that may act to depress, or enhance, the final outcome in this dynamic continuum (3).

It is recognized that a number of factors may play a role, in a stepwise manner, in the process of carcinogenesis. In this continuum, there are factors such

as oral contraceptives (OC) intake, reproductive events and breast irradiation, that can influence the risk of BC onset (4-8). Therefore, this study offers an unusual opportunity to evaluate the role of various factors in relation to baseline (start of follow-up) and final outcome in the process (end of follow-up). Such influence may be evaluated in a comparative perspective, for women with high and low baseline risks of BC (i.e. those born in Europe or America versus those born in Asia or Africa), who share an apparent common risk factor (BBD).

Our nested case-control study will allow comparing, within each pathological subgroup, the effects of several recognized factors associated with BC risk, acting before and after first BBD appearance between women who developed BC and those who did not.

The passive follow-up of the subcohort of BC will allow a better understanding of the role of demographic, pathological, and medical characteristics (including surgical and oncological treatment of BC) for further mortality (9).

The study is based on a twelve-year follow-up of the cohort of 3500 women histologically diagnosed nationwide for benign and malignant breast lesions, between July 1979 and June 1980 (10). A particular feature of the study population is that it stems from a single community but comprises subgroups with varying BC incidence; high risk women born in Europe, America and Israel, and low risk women originating from the Middle East and North Africa. The age-adjusted BC incidence rates of these groups in the late 1980's were 87.0 and 57.2 per 100,000 respectively, a gradient similar to the one observed between US whites and Africans (11).

### **Significance**

This study offers a unique opportunity to evaluate the progression of benign and malignant breast disease on a whole community base population.

Results will contribute to shedding light in respect to the role of BBD in general, and its specific histologic types in BC causation, taking into account interactions with main hormonal and demographic risk factors.

## **TECHNICAL OBJECTIVES**

### **The specific aims are:**

1. To assess morbidity patterns in a nationwide cohort of women with breast lesions by histopathological type and by ethnic origin.
2. To compare the prognostic value of Black-Chabon atypia-based grading system of BBD to the "traditional" histopathologic diagnosis, as predictors for progression from benign to malignant breast lesions.
3. To evaluate the role of selected hormonal and other factors, on the course of progression from benign to malignant breast lesions.
4. To evaluate the prognostic significance of selected specific characteristics of breast malignant neoplasms by clinical stage (TNM): histopathology; laterality of sequential neoplastic events; angiogenesis; degree of nuclear differentiation of the tumor cells (expressed as nuclear grade (NG)); and cell mediated immunity to autologous cancer cells (as manifested by microscopically demonstrable lymphoreticuloendothelial (LRE) response).
5. To assess the role of demographic and medical characteristics on the development of a second BC.
6. To establish a national datafile for subsequent long-term follow-up of this population.



## WORK PROGRESS AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Table 1 presents the study cohort by main diagnosis, representing all women going through breast biopsy in Israel during one year period (6.1.79 - 7.1.80) and identified 12 years later. The source of the demographic information collected at time of first identification of cases consisted of the pathological records, which in many cases were found to be incomplete. Completion of demographic information was done by tracing and identifying medical records in all hospitals in Israel. Our file was then linked to the Population Registry to further update addresses and vital status (complete name and address, year of birth, father's name and place of birth) to validate identification. As can be observed, 161 cases (6.2%) were not identified. Unidentified women were found not to belong to one specific subpopulation (type of benign breast disease) but are rather distributed similarly among the various diagnostic categories, 9.7 in precancerous breast diseases and 6.3% in the normotypic benign breast disease.

Table 2 shows the interview status of the nested case control study. By 1.6.96 we completed 1769 interviews and about 300 cases are still in progress. Response was about 80%. Non response by type of diagnosis shows a similar distribution among all types of diseases.

Table 3 shows results of 12 years BC morbidity follow-up. The prognostic value of Black-Chabon grading system of benign breast diseases was confirmed in our cohort. An increased risk for breast cancer with increased grading was observed: from 2.3% in the normotypic normoplastic type of BBD (grade 1) to 8.7% in atypic precancerous mastopathy (grade 3). In the same line median time till BC diagnosis decreases with increased grading from 9.9, 9.2, 5.1 10 years. For two *in situ* cases median time till BC was 3.1 and 10.3 for the second.

Table 4 shows the standardized incidence rate and confidence interval of breast cancer among the cohort of BBD patients by Black's prognostic system pathology. There is a significant increase in the risk of breast cancer in the BBD population as compared to the expected in the general population. A significant increase in risk with increased grading (from I to III) is observed. Atypic grade  $\geq 3$  BBD cases have a four and a half fold greater risk of breast cancer than the expected in the general population. Analysing the effect of age and origin on SIR (Table 5) we found that the increased incidence risk persists when age ( $<50$  and  $>50$ ) and origin are taken into consideration. Tables 6-7 show the frequency of selected epidemiological hormonal factors from the analysis of data obtained from personal interviews of BBD cohort.

The frequency of subsequent BBD biopsies is presented in Table 6. Thirty percent of the study cases went through at least one additional biopsy, 11% had two or more. Fifty-nine percent of consecutive biopsies were validated by local pathologists and 33% of them by Black in N.Y..

Table 7 shows preliminary data on the associations of parity and hormonal parameters with BBD by diagnosis. Parity was higher in the more advanced grade categories. This will be explored further.

The main finding thus far is the correlation between grading and subsequent BC. Using the conventional diagnostic nomenclature (e.g. fibrocystic BBD), this pattern would have been lost and would not allow identification of women with higher risk of BC that should be followed accordingly. Findings strongly support the increased risk for BC associated with previous BBD (12-23). Further analysis of our data may provide knowledge about factors associated with the progression from BBD to BC.

## **Future plans**

Nested case control study - Last Interviews are being completed. Double check of case identification is being done by going back to unidentified cases by a second interviewer. Genetical analysis of mutations (BRCA1-2) of familial BC and familial BBD is being considered for the next year.

Direct and inferential evidence indicates that the precursor to invasive progression is impeded by cell-mediated immunity to a particular immunogen that is characteristically expressed in the preinvasive phase of mammary carcinogenesis. Further data analysis will include evaluation of this component - Lymphocyte Reticular Endotelial (LRE) response associated with further morbidity and mortality to the tumor was made in our cohort and will also be analyzed as marker for further BC morbidity. Within grade 2 and 3 there are many cases (67%) that were designed traditionally as fibrocystic disease.

Breast cancer cohort - During the next year completion of BC follow up will be done, and a special effort is being made to identify all records on the basis of a nationwide search, in addition to hospital.

## REFERENCES

1. Black MM, Barclay TH, Cutler SJ, Hankey BF, Asire AJ. Association of atypical characteristics of benign breast lesions with subsequent risk of breast cancer. *Cancer* 1972;29:338-343.
2. Black MM. Prognostic significance of *in-situ* carcinoma associated with invasive breast carcinoma: A natural experiment in cancer immunology? *Cancer* 1996 (in press).
3. Black MM, Zachrau RE, Hankey BF, Wesley M. Skin window reactivity to autologous breast cancer. *Cancer* 1988;62:72-83.
4. Colditz GA, Rosner BA, Speizer FE. Risk factors for breast cancer according to family history of breast cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 196;88:365-371.
5. Bodian CA, Perzin KH, Lattes R, Hoffmann P, Abernathy TG. Prognostic significance of benign proliferative breast disease. *Cancer* 1993;71:3896-3970.
6. Rao DN, Ganesh B, Desai PB. Role of reproductive factors in breast cancer in a low-risk area: a case-control study. *Br J Cancer* 1994;70:129-132.
7. Claus EB, Risch N, Thompson WD, Carter D. Relationship between breast histopathology and family history of breast cancer. *Cancer* 1993;71:147-153.
8. Dupont WD, Page DL. Risk factors for breast cancer in women with proliferative breast disease. *N Engl J Med* 1985;312:146-151.
9. Fisher B, Anderson S, Redmond CK, Wolmark N, Wickerham DL, Cronin WM. Reanalysis and results after 12 years of follow-up in a randomized clinical trial comparing total mastectomy with lumpectomy with or without irradiation in the treatment of breast cancer. *N Engl J Med* 1995;333:1456-1461.

10. Black MM, Modan B, Lubin F, et al. A nationwide study of breast disease. *Cancer* 1988;61:2547-2551.
11. Cancer Incidence in Five Continents. Volume VI, IARC Lyons, 1991.
12. Dupont WD, Page DL, Parl FF, Vnencak-Jones CL, Plummer WD, Rados MS, Schuyler PG. Long-term risk of breast cancer in women with fibroadenoma. *N Engl J Med* 1994;331:10-15.
13. Page DL, Rogers LW. Combined histologic and cytologic criteria or the diagnosis for mammary atypical ductal hyperplasia. *Hum Pathol* 1992;23:1095-1097.
14. Page DL, Kidd TE, Jr., Dupont WD, Simpson JF, Rogers LW. Lobular neoplasia of the breast: higher risk for subsequent invasive cancer predicted by more extensive disease. *Hum Pathol* 1991;22:1232-1239.
15. Roetzheim RG, Fox SA, Leake B. The effect of risk on changes in breast cancer screening rates in Los Angeles, 1988-1990. *Cancer* 1994;74:625-631.
16. Consensus Meeting, October 1985, New York. Is 'fibrocystic disease of the breast precancerous? *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 1986;110:171-173.
17. Bodian CA. Benign breast diseases, carcinoma in situ, and breast cancer risk. *Epidemiol Rev* 1993;15:177-187.
18. Moskowitz M, Gartside P, Wirman JA, McLaughlin C. Proliferative disorders of the breast as risk factors for breast cancer in a self-selected screened population: Pathologic markers. *Radiology* 1990;134:289-291.
19. Dupont WD, Parl FF, Hartmann WH, Brinton LA, Winfield AC, Worrell JA, Schuyler PA, Plummer WD. Breast cancer risk associated with proliferative breast disease and atypical hyperplasia. *Cancer* 1993;71:1258-1265.

20. London SJ, Connolly JL, Schnitt SJ, Colditz GA. A prospective study of benign breast disease and the risk of breast cancer. *JAMA* 1992;267:941-944.
21. Pali D, Rosselli del Turco M, Simoncini R, Bianchi S. Benign breast disease and breast cancer: A case-control study in a cohort in Italy. *Int J Cancer* 1991;47:703-706.
22. Love SM, Gelman RS, Silen W. Fibrocystic "disease" of the breast - a nondisease? [Sounding Board]. *N Engl J Med* 1982;307:1010-1014.
23. Tavassoli FA, Norris HJ. A comparison of the results of long-term follow-up for atypical intraductal hyperplasia and intraductal hyperplasia of the breast. *Cancer* 1990;65:518-529.

Table 1

Breast cohort by diagnostic category and current status

Diagnostic Category (1979-80)	Total Cohort (1979-80) n	Total Cohort Identified* (1995) n
Total BBD	2615*	2454
Normotypic/ hyperplastic (Grade 1-2)	2431	2277
Atypic, precancerous (Grade 3-4)	130	126
In situ (Grade 5)	54	51
Invasive	983	840

\* For about 10% of cases no review was done by Dr. Black, the complete cohort includes these women as well, with their histopathology by local pathologist

Table 2

Distribution of the study population by interview status  
and cause of non-response

					Non-response					
Total cohort	Interviewed		In process*		Refused		Not identified		Other reasons	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
2454	1769	70.7	293	11.7	196	7.8	124	5.0	120	4.8

\* until 8.1.96



Table 3

Development of BC in the BBD Cohort  
by Black's Prognostic Grading System (1991)

Grade	Total BBD	Breast Cancer		Median time to BC (years)
		no.	%	
Normotypic- Normoplastic (Grade 1)	933	21	2.3	9.9
Normotypic- Hyperplastic (Grade 2)	878	32	3.6	9.2
Atypic- Hyperplastic (Grade $\geq 3$ )	126	11	8.7	5.1
<i>In-Situ</i> Ca	48	2	4.2	(3.1-10.3)
Unknown	469	14	3.0	8.1
TOTAL	2454	80	3.3	8.5

Table 4

Standardized Incidence Rate (SIR)\* and Confidence Interval (CI) of Breast Cancer Among Cohort of Patients With BBD by Black's Diagnostic Grading

BBD Diagnosis	Total BBD	Breast Cancer			
		Observed n	Expected n	SIR*	95% CI
Total BBD <sup>↑</sup>	2342	78	36.8	2.12	1.67-2.64
Normotypic- Normoplastic (Grade I)	893	20	13.5	1.48	0.90-2.29
Normotypic- Hyperplastic (Grade II)	842	32	13.4	2.39	1.63-3.38
Atypic- Hyperplastic (Grade III)	118	10	2.23	4.48	2.14-8.24
<i>In-Situ</i> Ca	47	2	1.02	1.96	0.22-7.03

\* SIR derived from cases with available demographic (age and origin) ;for 112 cases this information was missing

<sup>↑</sup> see remarks to Table 1

Table 5

Standardized Incidence Rate (SIR)  
and Confidence Interval of Breast Cancer by Origin

Origin	Total BBD	Observed	Expected	SIR	95% CI
	n	n	n		
All	2342	78	36.8	2.12	1.67-2.64
Asia-Africa	676	23	8.0	2.88	1.82-4.31
Europe-America	849	33	19.0	1.74	1.20-2.44
Israel	817	22	9.8	2.24	1.41-3.40
Age					
<50	181	50	22.3	2.24	1.67-2.96
50+	526	28	14.4	1.95	1.50-2.82

*see remarks to Table 1*

Table 6

Frequency distribution of repeated breast biopsies  
among interviewed population

No. of Breast Biopsies	Total	
	n	(%)
1*	1095	(70.1)
2	299	(19.1)
3	95	(6.1)
≥4	74	(4.7)
Total	1563↑	100

\* no additional biopsy

↑ 203 interviewed cases, one in progress

Table 7

Parity characteristics of the BBD cohort by type of Black's grading system

Parameter	TYPE OF BBD				
	Normotypic		Atypic (n=76)	<i>In-situ</i> (n=21)	Unknown Black-Chabon grading (n=288)
	Normoplastic (n=583)	Hyperplastic (n=595)			
Mean no. of pregnancies*	4.46±2.59	4.60±2.32	5.19±3.37	5.60±3.37	4.87±3.07
Mean no. of births	2.82±1.69	3.0±1.67	2.76±1.25	2.91±1.8	2.93±1.89
Parity:					
Nulliparous	24 (4.1)	19 (3.2)	3 (3.9)	3 (14)	21 (7.3)
Parous	359 (95.9)	576 (96.8)	73 (96.1)	17 (81)	267 (92.7)
Infertility problems:					
Yes	473 (81.1)	492 (82.7)	65 (85.5)	19 (90.5)	221 (76.7)
No	110 (18.9)	103 (17.3)	11 (14.9)	2 (9.5)	65 (22.6)
Infertility treatment:					
Yes	52 (8.9)	60 (10.1)	5 (6.6)	1 (4.8)	5 (6.6)
No	531 (91.9)	535 (89.9)	71 (93.9)	20 (95.2)	71 (93.0)
Hormonal treatment for infertility:					
Yes	160 (27.4)	156 (26.2)	14 (18.4)	4 (19)	70 (24.3)
No	423 (72.6)	439 (73.8)	62 (81.6)	17 (81)	218 (75.7)
Mean age of menstruation:	13.1±1.6	13.2±1.6	13.0±1.8	13.0±1.3	13.2±1.5
Regular menses					
Yes	46 (7.9)	56 (9.4)	14 (18.4)	5 (6.6)	22 (7.6)
No	537 (92.1)	538 (90.4)	62 (81.6)	71 (93.4)	266 (92.4)

\*  $p=0.04$  for difference between the diagnostic categories